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**Advantages**

* Nice use of eye contact and voice projection made the audience want to go along with the flow of the presentation.
* Introduction on why this would be a great idea, and how it can be related to personal experience is really attention grabbing
* Goals, problem statement and solutions were presented clearly.
* Functional requirements and Non-Functional requirements were well done.
* System and Class diagram are very readable and notice all aspects of project were clearly implemented.
* Impressive use of bit-bucket and how they used it to managed their coding and tasks.
* Good use of humor in the presentation to avoid a dry presentation.
* Good use of incorporating pair programming.

**Disadvantages**

* Missing the traceability Matrix in the documentation.
* Too much excitement caused some interruption within the team members during the presentation.
* With additional time, it would have been helpful to explain the steps more clearly on how to use the application.
* The Waterfall model requires a lot of planning and documentation. If waterfall methodology was really implemented then there would be less of a hiccup in the coding process. Waterfall method also requires a bigger team. The methodology used was probably spiral or iterative.
* There was some miscommunication before the team decided to code the software and it had some effect on the outcome during the coding process

**Further Questions**

* What types of questions would you ask the customer for surveying purposes?
* How long did it take to create the prototype?
* How will you touch base with the customer after you have made more adjustments?

**Final Grade:**

**A**